

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

RAMIRO AND JO ANN VALDEZ

Plaintiffs,

v.

NATIONWIDE GENERAL
INSURANCE COMPANY

Defendant.

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:17-cv-988

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

Defendant Nationwide General Insurance Company (“Defendant”), through undersigned counsel and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441 and 1446, files this Notice of Removal of the lawsuit captioned *Ramiro and Jo Ann Valdez v. Nationwide General Insurance Company*; Cause No. 2017CI15997, in the 408th Judicial District of Bexar County, Texas.

**I.
BACKGROUND**

1. Plaintiffs Ramiro and Jo Ann Valdez (hereinafter “Plaintiffs”) initiated the present action by filing their Original Petition in Cause No. 2017CI15997, in the 408th Judicial District of Bexar County, Texas on August 24, 2017 (the “State Court Action”). *See* Plaintiffs’ Original Petition, attached as **Exhibit A**.

2. Defendant appeared and answered on September 29, 2017, asserting a general denial to the claims and allegations made in Plaintiffs’ Original Petition. *See* Defendant’s Original Answer, attached as **Exhibit B**.

3. Pursuant to 28 USC § 1446(a) all a copy of all process, pleadings, and orders served upon Defendant in the State Court Action are incorporated in **Exhibit A**.

4. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d), promptly after filing this Notice of Removal, Defendant will give written notice of the removal to Plaintiffs through their attorney of record, and to the clerk of the 408th Judicial District of Bexar County, Texas.

5. Pursuant to 28 USC §§ 1446(b)(1) and 1446(c)(1) this Notice of Removal has been timely filed within 30 days of service on Defendant of Plaintiffs' Original Petition and less than one year after the commencement of this action.

II. JURISDICTION

6. This Court has original jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332, and the matter is removable to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a) because there is complete diversity of citizenship between the properly joined parties and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000 exclusive of interest and costs.

A. Diversity of Parties

7. Plaintiffs are domiciled in Bexar County, Texas. *See Exhibit A*, ¶ 1. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a), therefore, Plaintiffs are citizens of the State of Texas.

8. Nationwide General Insurance Company is organized under the laws of Ohio and maintains its principal place of business in Columbus, Ohio. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c)(1), therefore, Nationwide is a citizen of the State of Ohio.

9. Accordingly, there is complete diversity between the parties pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a).

B. Amount in Controversy

10. Plaintiffs' Original Petition states that Plaintiffs seek monetary relief "in excess of \$200,000 but not more than \$1,000,000." *See* Plaintiffs' Original Petition, **Exhibit A**, ¶ 48. The

threshold for diversity jurisdiction, \$75,000, is therefore met by the allegations of Plaintiffs' Original Petition.

11. Plaintiffs further seek compensation for (1) actual damages, (2) treble damages, (3) 18% penalty interest attorney's fees, (4) pre and post-judgment interest, and (5) attorney's fees. *See Exhibit A*. Plaintiffs have alleged that Defendant's conduct was wrongful and done knowingly, entitling them to a trebling of actual damages under Texas Insurance Code Chapter 541. *See Exhibit A*, ¶ 24; Tex. Ins. Code sections 541.002 & 541.152. Penalties, exemplary damages, and attorneys' fees are included as part of the amount in controversy.¹

12. The amount in controversy plainly exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs. *See Exhibit A*. Accordingly, the amount in controversy requirement of 28 U.S.C. § 1332(b) is satisfied.

III. CONCLUSION

13. Removal of this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a) is proper as the district courts of the United States have original jurisdiction over the matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332, and as all requirements for removal under 28 U.S.C. § 1446 have been met.

14. WHEREFORE, Defendant Nationwide General Insurance Company hereby provides notice that this action is duly removed.

(Signatures on following page)

¹ *See H&D Tire & Automotive-Hardware, Inc. v. Pitney Bowes Inc.*, 227 F.3d 326, 330 (5th Cir. 2000); *see also St. Paul Reinsurance Co. v. Greenberg*, 134 F.3d 1250, 1253 (5th Cir. 1998).

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Patrick M. Kemp

Patrick M. Kemp

Texas Bar No. 24043751

pkemp@smsm.com

Robert G. Wall

Texas Bar No. 24072411

rwall@smsm.com

Segal McCambridge Singer and Mahoney

100 Congress Ave., Suite 800

Austin, Texas 78701

Telephone: (512) 476-7834

Facsimile: (512) 476-7832

**ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT
NATIONWIDE GENERAL INSURANCE
COMPANY**

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been served upon the following counsel of record via certified mail, return receipt requested on this 4th day of September, 2017.

Preston J. Dugas, III
Preston Dugas Law Firm, PLLC
Oil & Gas Building
309 W. 7th Street, Suite 1100
Fort Worth, Texas 76102
preston@pjdllawfirm.com

9414 7266 9904 2061 9335 44

Marc K. Whyte
Whyte PLLC
1045 Cheever Blvd., Suite 103
San Antonio, Texas 78217
mwhyte@whytepllc.com
jsaenz@whytepllc.com

9414 7266 9904 2061 9335 68

/s/ Patrick M. Kemp

Patrick M. Kemp